

Амлаев К. Р.¹, Абдулла Альнизами²

К ВОПРОСУ О СТРАТЕГИЯХ МЕДИЦИНСКОЙ ПРОФИЛАКТИКИ НЕИНФЕКЦИОННЫХ ЗАБОЛЕВАНИЙ

¹Бухарский государственный медицинский институт имени Абу Али ибн Сино Министерства здравоохранения Узбекистана, 200118, Бухара, Узбекистан;²Клиника Сана Берлин, сертифицированная в области профилактической медицины, 10711, Берлин, Германия

В статье отражены современные данные о неинфекционных заболеваниях (НИЗ) и факторах их риска. Подчеркивается возрастающая роль социально-политических и экономических факторов в распространении НИЗ. Особое внимание уделяется внедрению профилактических стратегий в школах. Подчеркивается, что, несмотря на важность проблемы, только 45% стран сообщили о наличии политики в области борьбы с НИЗ. Отдельно обсуждаются цифровые решения в медицинской профилактике, позволяющие использовать инструменты для самоконтроля личного здоровья в соответствии с индивидуальными обстоятельствами. Описаны профилактические стратегии, использующие стимулы для поощрения здорового поведения, и отмечена их эффективность при частом и длительном применении, особенно у людей с низким доходом.

Ключевые слова: стратегии профилактики заболеваний; неинфекционные заболевания; факторы риска неинфекционных заболеваний.

Для цитирования: Амлаев К. Р., Абдулла Альнизами. К вопросу о стратегиях медицинской профилактики неинфекционных заболеваний. Проблемы социальной гигиены, здравоохранения и истории медицины. 2025;33(4):628—632. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.32687/0869-866X-2025-33-4-628-632>

Для корреспонденции: Амлаев Карэн Робертович, доктор медицинских наук, профессор, профессор кафедры профилактической медицины, общественного здоровья и управления здравоохранением Бухарского государственного медицинского института имени Абу Али ибн Сино Министерства здравоохранения Узбекистана, e-mail: karen.amlaev@bsmi.uz

Amlaev K. R.¹, Abdullah Alnizami²

TOWARDS STRATEGIES FOR THE MEDICAL PREVENTION OF NON-COMMUNICABLE DISEASES

¹The Bukhara State Medical Institute named after Abu Ali ibn Sino of the Ministry of Health of Uzbekistan, Bukhara, Uzbekistan;²Sana Klinikum Berlin, Board Certified in Preventive Medicine, Berlin, Germany

The article considers modern data on non-communicable diseases (NCDs) and their risk factors. The increasing role of sociopolitical and economic factors in prevalence of NCDs is highlighted. The focus is made on implementation of preventive strategies in schools. It is emphasized that, despite importance of problem, only 45% of countries reported availability of NCD national policy. The digital solutions in medical prevention allowing application of tools of self-monitoring of personal health according to individual circumstances are discussed separately. The prevention strategies using incentives to encourage healthy behavior are described. Their efficiency in frequent and prolonged use is noted, especially for people with low income.

Key words: health prevention; strategies; non-communicable diseases; risk factor.

For citation: Amlaev K. R., Abdullah Alnizami. Towards strategies for the medical prevention of non-communicable diseases. *Problemi socialnoi gigieny, zdravookhraneniya i istorii meditsiny*. 2025;33(4):628–632 (In Russ.). DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.32687/0869-866X-2025-33-4-628-632>

For correspondence: Amlaev K. R., doctor of medical sciences, professor, professor of the Chair of Preventive Medicine, Public Health and Health Care Management of the Bukhara State Medical Institute named after Abu Ali ibn Sino of the Ministry of Health of Uzbekistan. e-mail: karen.amlaev@bsmi.uz

Conflict of interests. The authors declare absence of conflict of interests.

Acknowledgment. The study had no sponsor support.

Received 27.08.2024

Accepted 30.10.2024

Non-communicable diseases (NCDs) cause about 35 million deaths annually, with 7 out of every 10 deaths occurring in middle- and low-income countries [1]. Data from population-based epidemiologic studies show that most NCDs are lifestyle-related. Hypodynamia, tobacco smoking, unhealthy diet, and alcohol abuse are considered to be the most important risk factors for NCDs [2]. However, it would be simplistic to attribute these diseases to individual lifestyles alone, without taking into account factors such as globalization, industrialization and urbanization, so reducing the prevalence of these and other NCD risk factors will require interventions beyond the health sector and policy changes in various areas such as finance, urban planning, education, agriculture and economics, and transport [3].

Thus, NCDs can be considered lifestyle and environmental diseases, which in most cases are not only and not so much related to human behavior but to social changes in terms of economic transformation, urbanization, globalization and other known risk factors [4]. Therefore, prevention strategies should be aimed at both the elimination of risk factors, popularization of healthy lifestyles, and policy changes in finance, urban planning, education, agriculture, transport and others [5]

Population-wide preventive interventions that address risk factors are feasible and can lead to significant reductions in the burden of NCDs. The increasing prevalence of NCDs, the cost of their treatment, coupled with the challenge of controlling infectious diseases in already overburdened health systems, make prevention

the main strategy for reducing the burden of NCDs, especially in primary health care. However, it should be considered that the health sector has no direct influence on many determinants of health outside the sector. The direct medical costs of treatment and productivity losses associated with NCDs result in lower quality and quantity of labor market and human capital [6]. A report on the macroeconomic impact of NCDs shows that the cost of treating coronary heart disease, hypertension, diabetes, obesity and stroke in China is about US\$3 billion per year. It costs Brazil US\$72 billion per year to treat patients and lost productivity associated with NCDs [7]. Examining individual-level social data (such as poverty, homelessness, and food insecurity) together with clinical health data (such as blood pressure, cholesterol levels, medications, and pre-existing conditions) can help health professionals identify populations with specific needs and inform communities to develop appropriate interventions [8].

Tobacco control stands out among the preventive actions aimed at reducing the prevalence of cardiovascular diseases and cancer. About 33% of all cases of CHD, 35% of cardiovascular disease and 83% of lung cancer are caused by smoking among people aged 35 to 65 years [9]. Tobacco has adverse effects on both smoker and passive smoker. Tobacco control policy studies in several countries have shown that the media plays a key role in advocating and supporting tobacco control law reform [10, 11]. Thus, there is a need for greater media involvement in raising public awareness of the role of NCD risk factors. Strategies to reduce smoking prevalence, most notably the Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC) [12] show positive effects in reducing the prevalence of tobacco-related NCDs such as CHD [13], cardiovascular disease and cancer [14].

When planning prevention activities, special attention should be paid to the implementation of prevention strategies in schools [15]. School is where adolescents spend most of their lives and where they are prepared for the transition from childhood to adulthood. Adolescents face unique health and developmental challenges [16]. School health programs should go beyond traditional health education to include counseling on a variety of health-related issues, shaping and maintaining a healthy learning environment, treating disease and preventing risky behaviors [17], and school health screening.

Most studies point to the importance of stakeholder participation in the implementation of school health interventions. The participation of members of the school community, such as parents, religious leaders, teachers, and principals, can help to create a sustainable mechanism for implementing prevention strategies [18]. Changing the school environment will also require the support and coordination of the educational and/or municipal administrative sectors. It seems important to use peer-to-peer technology especially in the prevention of violence, substance use and sexual risk behaviors [19]. It is worth noting that gender- and culture-sensitive interventions can lead to better results [20].

The effectiveness of prevention strategies depends on the severity of the problems in this area, which include: lack of or ineffective prevention, low accessibility of medical care and medications, including technological methods of diagnosis and treatment, lack of financial resources, persistence and growth of poverty, increasing proportion of the elderly population, increasing migration processes, accelerated urban development without well thought-out plans, lack of internal and external coordination of prevention [21–24]. Despite the fact that political attention to NCDs has increased, in many countries this issue still receives insufficient attention. According to WHO in 2015, only 45% of countries reported having an NCD policy [25].

It should also be taken into account that many products (tobacco, alcohol, fast food) that increase the number of NCDs generate profits for commercial companies [26], which is a barrier to the implementation of public health policies. Migration also has a major impact on the prevalence of NCDs through its influence on people's lifestyles [21].

Improper urban planning, in turn, is also associated with many risks affecting morbidity and mortality from NCDs, for example, it leads to a significant increase in air pollution and sedentary lifestyles [27].

Given the increasing prevalence of NCDs in all age groups, there is an urgent need for low-cost, cost-effective and scalable NCD prevention strategies [28].

Health systems that recognize the problem of NCDs and address modifiable risk factors and prioritize the treatment of NCDs will be better able to promote and maintain health. To help countries develop effective strategies for NCDs, the WHO Assembly endorsed the Global Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of Noncommunicable Diseases 2013–2020 along with a set of 84 evidence-based interventions [29]. In addition, WHO has developed a compendium of best medical practices for NCD prevention [30, 31]. These practices include the use of digital prevention technologies [32]. A digital platform has been proposed that utilizes existing digital interventions for self-management of personal health, the effectiveness of which has been positively evaluated [33]. The platform hosts a palette of discrete, evidence-based, digitally supported digital health interventions and includes a digital guidance tool to guide users to the intervention most appropriate for their current individual needs and preferences, optimizing personal lifestyle and user experience [34]. Digital platforms can influence behavior change, such as self-care behaviors for a specific disease. Persistent significant differences in diabetes self-care behavior between users and non-users of the Diabetes Online Companion intervention have been observed [35].

Comprehensive lifestyle modification may be the best approach to self-management of NCDs because NCDs are complex conditions influenced by several interrelated lifestyle risk factors [36, 37]. Lifestyle risk factors and their corresponding health behaviors are strongly influenced by each other, and thus, changing one health behavior using a digital platform does not guarantee effective self-management of NCDs. For ex-

ample, controlling hypodynamia will be ineffective if not accompanied by smoking cessation [38, 39]. Lack of stress coping skills is often accompanied by low adherence to NCDs [40–43]. A digital platform can take into account not only the stage of an NCD, but also the current health status of the person living with that NCD by providing the self-management tools needed according to individual circumstances [44].

Another prevention strategy is the use of incentives to encourage healthy behaviors, alone or as part of a multicomponent intervention [45, 46]. These can take the form of monetary or non-monetary rewards or penalties for meeting a predetermined process or outcome measure, such as attending a fitness session or losing weight, or, less commonly, a penalty if the goal is not met. For the most part, people are rational, forward-thinking, and weigh the costs and benefits of their decisions. If a person is given a reward (punishment) for a healthy (unhealthy) behavior, they are more (less) likely to do it. Other things being equal, rewards are more likely to be effective among lower income groups because the value of the reward allows their income to increase [47]. However, not all people are rational, and some individuals may not see a clear causal link between healthy behaviors and a reduced likelihood of developing NCDs that will only appear in the distant future [48]. Incentives address this problem by providing individuals with short-term rewards for engaging in healthy behaviors regarding their health. Examples of rewards for employees who quit tobacco use or adopt healthy lifestyles are numerous around the world. However, there are concerns that people who change behaviors because of the prospect of rewards are less likely to engage in those behaviors when they are no longer incentivized. The formation of healthy habits is thought to be promoted by interventions with longer durations (at least 24 weeks) [49], which are more likely to result in lasting effects [50, 51].

Effectiveness may be more likely if incentive opportunities are offered more frequently and targeted at low-income groups. Non-monetary rewards perceived by individuals as hedonic have also been found to be a promising strategy, and larger incentives are more likely to lead to statistically significant improvements, at least with respect to weight loss and fitness [52].

In cases of adult alcohol and tobacco abuse, however, the challenge is to modify their behavior by redesigning the physical, social and psychological environment that influences people's decisions without limiting their freedom of choice [53, 54]. This can be accomplished through the use of “nudge” techniques, which include providing accessibility, presentation, use of messages and images, technology-supported information, financial incentives, sensory exposure, and others. [55, 56].

Nudging techniques have already found their application in medicine [57] and public health [55, 58, 59]. Some countries are increasingly using these technologies to help people make the right choices to improve their health and well-being [60].

As an example, the WHO recommends labeling alcoholic beverages to increase consumer awareness and en-

sure that people make informed decisions [61]. The underlying mechanism lies in the negative emotional stimuli such as fear, disgust, discomfort and anxiety that are triggered by warnings [62]. This type of intervention is also effective in reducing the purchase of sugary drinks, which helps to reduce the burden of obesity [63]. The use of behavioural “nudges”, such as posters, has been shown to be inexpensive, simple and effective, for example, in promoting hand hygiene for infection prevention in health-care settings [64].

Thus, given the importance and prevalence of non-communicable diseases and risk factors, modern approaches to their prevention, including the use of digital solutions and various incentives to encourage healthy lifestyles, should be more widely used.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization (WHO) LC-PD. 2008–2013 Action Plan for the global strategy for the prevention and control of non-communicable diseases. The six objectives of the 2008–2013 Action Plan are. World Health Organization; 2013.
2. Budreviciute A., Damiati S., Sabir D. K., et al. Management and Prevention Strategies for Non-Communicable Diseases (NCDs) and Their Risk Factors. *Front. Public Health*. 2020 Nov 26;8:574111. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2020.574111
3. Ndubuisi N. E. Noncommunicable Diseases Prevention In Low-and Middle-Income Countries: An Overview of Health in All Policies (HiAP). *Inquiry*. 2021 Jan-Dec;58:46958020927885. doi: 10.1177/0046958020927885
4. Regional Committee. Scaling up prevention and control of chronic noncommunicable diseases in the sea region: risk factors for non-communicable diseases: results in the South-East Asia region (results from surveys using the WHO STEPS approach). July 9, 2007.
5. Caballero B. The global epidemic of obesity: an overview. *Epidemiol. Rev.* 2007;29(1):1–5.
6. Mayer-Foulkes D. A survey of macro damages from non-communicable chronic diseases: another challenge for global governance. *Glob. Econ. J.* 2011;11(1):1–27.
7. Fuster V. Committee on preventing the global epidemic of cardiovascular disease: meeting the challenges in developing countries. In: Board on Global Health. Fuster V., Kelly B. B., eds. *Promoting Cardiovascular Health in the Developing World: A Critical Challenge to Achieve Global Health*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2010. P. 275–315.
8. Allen L. N., Smith R. W., Simmons-Jones F., et al. Addressing social determinants of noncommunicable diseases in primary care: a systematic review. *Bull. World Health Organ.* 2020 Nov 1;98(11):754–765B. doi: 10.2471/BLT.19.248278. Epub 2020 May 1.
9. Lightwood J. M., Glantz S. A. Declines in acute myocardial infarction after smoke-free laws and individual risk attributable to secondhand smoke. *Circulation*. 2009;120(14):1373–9.
10. Harris J. K., Shelton S. C., Moreland-Russell S., et al. Tobacco coverage in print media: the use of timing and themes by tobacco control supporters and opposition before a failed tobacco tax initiative. *Tob. Control*. 2010;19:37–43.
11. Smith K. C., McLeod K., Wakefield M. Australian letters to the editor on tobacco: triggers, rhetoric, and claims of legitimate voice. *Qual. Health Res.* 2005;15(9):1180–98. doi: 10.1177/1049732305279145
12. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Best practices for comprehensive tobacco control program. U. S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health; 2014.
13. Barnoya J., Glantz S. Association of the California Tobacco Control Program with declines in lung cancer incidence. *Canc. Causes Contr.* 2014;15:689–95.
14. Cowling D. W., Yang J. Smoking-attributable cancer mortality in California, 1979–2005. *Tob. Control*. 2010;19(suppl 1):i62–i67. doi: 10.1136/tc.2009.030791
15. Xu T., Tomokawa S., Gregorio E. R. Jr, et al. School-based interventions to promote adolescent health: A systematic review in low- and middle-income countries of WHO Western Pacific Region. *PLoS*

Здоровье и общество

- One.* 2020 Mar 5;15(3):e0230046. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0230046
16. Sawyer S. M., Afifi R. A., Bearinger L. H., et al. Adolescence: a foundation for future health. *Lancet.* 2012;379:1630–40. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60072-5
17. Viner R. M., Ozer E. M., Denny S., Marmot M., Resnick M., Fatu-si A., et al. Adolescence and the social determinants of health. *Lancet.* 2012;379:1641–52. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60149-4
18. Weiss H. A., Ferrand R. A. Improving adolescent health: an evidence-based call to action. *Lancet.* 2019; Published Online March 12. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32996-9
19. Shackleton N., Jamal F., Viner R. M., et al. School-based interventions going beyond health education to promote adolescent health: a systematic review of reviews. *J. Adolescent Health.* 2016;58:382–96. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2015.12.017
20. Viner R. M., Ozer E. M., Denny S., et al. Adolescence and the social determinants of health. *Lancet.* 2012;379:1641–52. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60149-4
21. Jegannathan B., Dahlblom K., Kullgren G. Outcome of a school-based intervention to promote life-skills among young people in Cambodia. *Asian J. Psychiatry.* 2014;9:78–84. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2014.01.011
22. Etemad K., Heidari A., Panahi M., et al. Challenges in implementing package of essential noncommunicable diseases interventions in Iran's Healthcare System. *J. Health Res Community.* 2016;2:32–43.
23. World Health Organization. Available at: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/noncommunicable-diseases-progress-monitor-2015>
24. World Health Organization. Report of the 2017 global survey. 2018. Available at: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241514781>
25. Hadian M., Mozafari M. R., Mazaheri E., et al. Challenges of the Health System in Preventing Non-Communicable Diseases; Systematized Review. *Int. J. Prev. Med.* 2021 Jun 25;12:71. doi: 10.4103/ijpvm.IJPVM_487_20
26. Salinas A., Kones R. Barriers to global action plan for the prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases: Proposal modifications to the voluntary targets. *J. Prev. Med.* 2018;3:1–5.
27. Allen L., Williams J., Townsend N., et al. Socioeconomic status and non-communicable disease behavioral risk factors in low-income and lower-middle-income countries: A systematic review. *Lancet Glob. Health.* 2017;5:e277–89.
28. Mendenhall E., Kohrt B. A., Norris S. A., et al. Non-communicable disease syndemics: Poverty, depression, and diabetes among low-income populations. *Lancet.* 2017;389:951–63.
29. Hategeka C., Adu P., Desloge A., et al. Implementation research on noncommunicable disease prevention and control interventions in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review. *PLoS Med.* 2022 Jul 25;19(7):e1004055. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1004055
30. World Health Organization. Updated appendix 3 of the global NCD action plan 2013–2020. Technical Annex 2017; World Health Organization. Global action plan for the prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases 2013–2020. World Health Organization; 2013.
31. Marten R., Mikkelsen B., Shao R., et al. Committing to implementation research for health systems to manage and control non-communicable diseases. *Lancet Glob. Health.* 2021;9(2):e108–e109. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30485-X
32. World Health Organization. Mid-point evaluation of the implementation of the WHO global action plan for the prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases 2013–2020 (NCD-GAP). 2020.
33. Tighe S. A., Ball K., Kensing F., et al. Toward a Digital Platform for the Self-Management of Noncommunicable Disease: Systematic Review of Platform-Like Interventions. *J. Med. Internet Res.* 2020 Oct 28;22(10):e16774. doi: 10.2196/16774
34. World Health Organization. Monitoring and evaluating digital health interventions: a practical guide to conducting research and evaluation. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Press; 2016. P. 1–144.
35. Maddison R., Rawstorn J. C., Shariful Islam S. M., et al. mHealth Interventions for Exercise and Risk Factor Modification in Cardiovascular Disease. *Exerc. Sport Sci. Rev.* 2019 Apr;47(2):86–90. doi: 10.1249/JES.000000000000185
36. Yu C. H., Parsons J. A., Mamdani M., et al. A web-based intervention to support self-management of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: effect on self-efficacy, self-care and diabetes distress. *BMC Med. Inform. Decis. Mak.* 2014 Dec 14;14:117. doi: 10.1186/s12911-014-0117-3
37. GBD 2016 Risk Factors Collaborators Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 84 behavioral, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet.* 2017 Sep 16;390(10100):1345–422. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32366-8
38. Prochaska J. J., Prochaska J. O. A Review of Multiple Health Behavior Change Interventions for Primary Prevention. *Am. J. Lifestyle Med.* 2011 May;5(3):208–21. doi: 10.1177/1559827610391883
39. Drca N., Wolk A., Jensen-Ustad M., et al. Physical activity is associated with a reduced risk of atrial fibrillation in middle-aged and elderly women. *Heart.* 2015 Oct;101(20):1627–30. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2014-307145
40. Trost S. G., Owen N., Bauman A. E., et al. Correlates of adults' participation in physical activity: review and update. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 2002 Dec;34(12):1996–2001. doi: 10.1097/00005768-200212000-00020
41. Buczkowski K., Marciniowicz L., Czachowski S., et al. Motivations toward smoking cessation, reasons for relapse, and modes of quitting: results from a qualitative study among former and current smokers. *Patient Prefer. Adherence.* 2014;8:1353–63. doi: 10.2147/PPA.S67767
42. Stults-Kolehmainen M. A., Sinha R. The effects of stress on physical activity and exercise. *Sports Med.* 2014 Jan;44(1):81–121. doi: 10.1007/s40279-013-0090-5
43. Bauman A. E., Reis R. S., Sallis J. F., et al. Lancet Physical Activity Series Working Group Correlates of physical activity: why are some people physically active and others not? *Lancet.* 2012 Jul 21;380(9838):258–71. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60735-1
44. Torres S. J., Nowson C. A. Relationship between stress, eating behavior, and obesity. *Nutrition.* 2007;23(11-12):887–94. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2007.08.008
45. Mol A., Moser I., Pols J. Care in Practice On Tinkering in Clinics, Homes and Farms. Bielefeld: Transcript Verlag; 2010. P. 1–326.
46. Lagarde M., Haines A., Palmer N. Conditional cash transfers for improving uptake of health interventions in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. 2007;298:1900–10.
47. Ranganathan M., Lagarde M. Promoting healthy behaviors and improving health outcomes in low and middle income countries: a review of the impact of conditional cash transfer programs. *Prev. Med.* 2012;55:S95–S105.
48. Sutherland K., Christianson J. B., Leatherman S. Impact of targeted financial incentives on personal health behavior A review of the literature. *Med. Care Res. Rev.* 2008;65:36S–78S.
49. Chapman G. B., Brewer N. T., Coups E. J., et al. Value for the future and preventive health behavior. *J. Exp. Psychol. Appl.* 2001;7:235–50.
50. Mantzari E., Vogt F., Shemilt I., et al. Personal financial incentives for changing habitual health-related behaviors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Prev. Med.* 2015;75:75–85.
51. Lally P., Chipperfield A., Wardle J. Healthy habits: efficacy of simple advice on weight control based on a habit-formation model. *Int. J. Obes.* 2008;32:700–7.
52. Lally P., Gardner B. Promoting habit formation. *Health Psychol. Rev.* 2013;7:S137–S158.
53. Ondersma S. J., Svikis D. S., Lam P. K., et al. A randomized trial of computer-delivered brief intervention and low-intensity contingency management for smoking during pregnancy. *Nicotine Tob. Res.* 2012;14:351–60.
54. Mertens S., Herberz M., Hahnel U. J. J., et al. The effectiveness of nudging: A meta-analysis of choice architecture interventions across behavioral domains. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 2021;119:e2107346118. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2107346118
55. Nurchis M. C., Di Pumpo M., Perilli A., et al. Nudging Interventions on Alcohol and Tobacco Consumption in Adults: A Scoping Review of the Literature. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health.* 2023 Jan 17;20(3):1675. doi: 10.3390/ijerph20031675
56. Ledderer L., Kjer M., Madsen E. K., et al. Nudging in Public Health Lifestyle Interventions: A Systematic Literature Review and Meta-synthesis. *Health Educ. Behav.* 2020;47:749–64. doi: 10.1177/1090198120931788
57. Marteau T. M., Ogilvie D., Roland M., et al. Judging nudging: Can nudging improve population health? *BMJ.* 2011;342: d228. doi: 10.1136/bmj.d228
58. Talat U., Schmidtke K. A., Khanal S., et al. A Systematic Review of Nudge Interventions to Optimize Medication Prescribing. *Front. Pharmacol.* 2022;13:798916. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.798916
59. Leone L. Nudge Strategies at a Glance: An Overview. More Freedom or New Paternalism? *Epidemiol. Prev.* 2016;40:462–5. doi: 10.19191/EP16.6.P462.127

60. Blaga O. M., Vasilescu L., Chereches R. M. Use and effectiveness of behavioral economics in interventions for lifestyle risk factors of non-communicable diseases: A systematic review with policy implications. *Perspect. Public Health*. 2018;138:100–10. doi: 10.1177/1757913917720233
61. Vallgård S. Nudge-A new and better way to improve health? *Health Policy*. 2012;104:200–3. doi: 10.1016/j.healthpol.2011.10.013
62. World Health Organization. Health Warning Labels on Alcoholic Beverages: Opportunities for Informed and Healthier Choices. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO; 2021.
63. Pechey E., Clarke N., Mantzari E., et al. Image-and-text health warning labels on alcohol and food: Potential effectiveness and acceptability. *BMC Public Health*. 2020;20:376. doi: 10.1186/s12889-020-8403-8
64. Hall M. G., Grummon A. H., Higgins I. C. A., et al. The impact of pictorial health warnings on purchases of sugary drinks for children: A randomized controlled trial. *PLoS Med*. 2022;19:e1003885. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1003885
65. Caris M. G., Labuschagne H. A., Dekker M., et al. Nudging to improve hand hygiene. *J. Hosp. Infect.* 2018;98:352–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2017.09

Поступила 27.08.2024
Принята в печать 30.10.2024